INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern I Application No PCT/IE2004/000163

A. CLASSI	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C09C3/08 C01G19/00 C09C1/36	C01G19/02					
	o International Patent Classification (IPC) orto both national classification	tion and IPC					
	SEARCHED commentation searched (classification system followed by classification)	n symbole)					
Millinuum oo	COIG CO9C	п зушоову	ļ				
Documentation	on searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	such documents are included in the fields see	arched				
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data base	se and, where practical, search terms used)					
EPO-Internal , CHEM ABS Data							
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		·········				
Category °		evant passages	Relevant to claim No				
X	DE 43 38 361 Al (INSTITUT FUER NE MATERIALIEN GEMEINNUETZIGE GMBH UNIVERSITAET DES SA) 11 May 1995 (1995-05-11) page 4, line 15 - line 34; exampl I.Ia, I.2b		1-10, 32-34				
Х	WO 00/14017 A (INSTITUT FUER NEUE MATERIALEN GEM. GMBH; NONNINGER, GOEBBERT, C) 16 March 2000 (2000- page 23, line 10 - line 29 page 24, line 2 - line 7	RALPH;	11-21, 35-38				
P,X	US 2004/118332 Al (TADAKUMA YOSHI 24 June 2004 (2004-06-24) paragraph '0033! -paragraph '004 example 1		22-25,43				
		V 6-3 1: 1:					
<u> </u>	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C	Patent family members are listed in	1 annex				
"A¹ docume conside	tegories of cited documents nt defining the general state of the art which is not ered to be of particular relevance	^t T ¹ later document published after the inter or pnority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention	the application but				
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X' document of particular relevance, the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered b							
"L" document which may throw doubts on prionty clatn(s) or involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y' document of particular relevance, the claimed invention citation or other special reason (as specified) cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the							
	nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	document is combined with one or mor ments, such combination being obvious	re other such docum				
P" docume	nt published prior to the international filing date but nan the priority date claimed	in the art "&" document member of the same patent t	amily				
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search	ch report				
1	8 November 2005	01/12/2005					
Name and r	mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer					
	European Patent Office, P B 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx 31651 epo nl, Fax (+31-70) 340-3016	Siebel , E					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/IE2004/000163

Box 11 Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: .
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; à is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Continuation of Box II. 2

Claims Nos. : -

- 1.1. The present claim 1 relates to an extremely large number of possible compounds (i.e. metal oxides). Support and disclosure in the sense of Article 6 and 5 PCT is to be found however for only a very small proportion of the compounds claimed, see 'p.1, line 15 to page 2, line 9; p.11, line 19 to line 32; page 25, line 27 to page 26, line 1; examples 1-8!. The non compliance with the substantive provisions is to such an extent, that the search was performed taking into consideration the non compliance in determining the extent of the search (PCT Guidelines 9.19 and 9.23).
- 1.2. This reasons applies mutatis mutandis for the subject-matter of claims 1-9, 11-20, 22-47.
- 2.1. The soluble metal oxide compound of claim 4 comprises the ligands X and Y. X represents an inner, Y an outer organic binding group. X represents the inner organic binding group of the general formula of claim 5, whereas Y represents the outer organic binding group of the general formula of claim 7.

These ligands X and Y are not clearly defined because their definition throughout claims 4-8 relates to an extremely large number of possible compounds. This would require an equally unquantifiable and thus unreasonable amount of experimentation, imposing a severe and undue burden on all those wishing to ascertain the scope of the claim, which is not in compliance with the clarity requirement of Article 6 PCT. The non compliance with the substantive provisions is to such an extent, that the search was performed taking into consideration the non compliance in determining the extent of the search (PCT Guidelines, 9.19 and 9.24).

- 2.2. This arguments applies mutatis mutandis to the claims 1-49.
- 3.1. The process for preparing a soluble metal oxide according to claim 32 relates to an extremely large number of possible compounds, i.e. solvents and organic binding groups. Furthermore, the process of claim 32 does not indicate which properties of the solvent has to be taken into account, when choosing the organic binding group. Therefore, it would require an equally unquantifiable and thus unreasonable amount of experimentation, imposing a severe and undue burden on all those wishing to ascertain the scope of the claim, which is not in compliance with the clarity requirement of Article 6 PCT. The non compliance with the substantive provisions is to such an extent, that the search was performed taking into consideration the non compliance in determining the extent of the search (PCT Guidelines, 9.19 and 9.24).
- 4.1. Consequently, the search of claim 1-49 was restricted to those claimed metal oxide compounds which appear to be supported, i.e. metal oxides comprising the metal moiety selected from the group comprising tin or titanium (see claim 10) and those mixed metal oxide comprising additionally a metal M' according the formula of claim 14 the

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

metal M' being chosen from the list of cited in claim 15.

4.2. Furthermore, the extent of the search of claims 1-49 in view of the organic binding groups was consequently limited to the clearly defined examples in the description, i.e. formic acid, acetic acid, trifluoroacetic acid, propanoic acid and their anionic ions or salts.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Inte | Application No PCT/IE2004/000163

Patent docur cited in search		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
DE 433836	1 A1	11-05-1995	AT	216420	т	15-052002
			CN	1138345	A	18-121996
			WO	9513326	Al	18-051995
			EP	0728164	Al	28-08 1996
			ES	2173127	Т3	16-102002
			JР	9505089	T	20-05 1997
			US	6228921	Bl	08-052001
			US	6008285	A	28-12 1999
WO 001401	.7 A	16-03-2000	CN	1314871	A	26-09-2001
			EР	1113992	Al	11-07-2001
			JР	2002524374	T	06-08-2002
			US	6533966	Bl	18-03-2003
US 200411	.8332 Al	24-06-2004	 JР	2004123403		22-04-2004